

Submission ID: 6120

Comments on relevant representations by deadline 1 AUBP responses table 1-20 page 244

With regard to the aubp responses I wish to make the following comments

No 3 air quality. You admit that there will be some emissions of fine particles. These are the ones that are particularly dangerous and there are articles stating that these cannot be continuously monitored as the technology required has yet to be created.

Why has the crop land effect not been assessed before now, seeing as the area provides much of the countries vegetables.

No5 climate change/project need. I totally disagree with your opinions and figures

No 6 project need. I do not think there is a UK need as we already have overcapacity

No7 air quality/dust. What are these good practise working methods to contain the dust

No 8 house prices. This information is outdated 2013 .Since then the property market has changed considerably with peoples opinions on environmental issues taking greater importance. It was done by an energy related organisation and is not fit for purpose. Perhaps the applicant could do a more relevant survey of the Boston property market to support this as in my opinion property values could reduce by up to 20%.

No16 project need. Lincolnshire county council meeting 26 July confirmed the proposed scheme was contrary to the policies of the Minerals and waste local plan. No information has been provided on the need for this facility other than your assumption there is a national need.

No 24 general. Regarding decommissioning, will the required money be set aside now and ring fenced, and held by an independent party for use in 25 years time.

I hope I have completed this submission correctly

Thanks